UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/577,155 | 03/26/2007 | Harry Schaaf | 1034193-000039 | 2155 |
| | 7590 08/24/201 INGERSOLL & ROOI | EXAMINER | | |
| POST OFFICE | BOX 1404 | REDMAN, JERRY E | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3634 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/24/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-----|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/577,155 | SCHAAF ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Jerry Redman | 3634 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addr | ess | | | |
| WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| _ | Passansive to communication(s) filed on 11 | uno 2010 | | | | | |
| · · | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 June 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| J)الــا | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice direct | ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40 | 0.0.210. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | ∑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)□ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | n-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | , | | | | | |
| , | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | | |
| Attachmen | rt(c) | | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | |

Art Unit: 3634

The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1-7 (claim 7 newly added) are herein addressed below.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 1-7, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner if the applicant is claiming a device or a device in combination with either a single leaf door OR a double leaf door. Throughout the claims, the applicant clearly and positively sets forth both the single leaf door and the double leaf door throughout the claims. If the applicant intends on claiming the combination, then the applicant should clearly and positively set forth the door(s) in the preamble.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

As best understood, claims 1-7 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rieger et al. (6,039,364). As shown in Figure 3, Rieger et al. (6,039,364) disclose a cabinet assembly comprising a door (1) a guiding element (3, 4, 11) having a pair of sloped surfaces (5 and 6) which are "substantially" perpendicular to each other and tapered away from a fastening surface (any one of the three surfaces, 3,4, or along either side), and wherein when the door is closed the weight of the door is

transferred to the guiding element. [note: with respect to claim 7, little to know patentable weight is given to the "type" of cabinet that the applicant is trying to claim since no structural limitations which would define and/or distinguish any cabinet from a "switchgear" cabinet and therefor any cabinet can be considered a "switchgear" cabinet.]

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,155 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-6835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Mitchell, can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

/Jerry Redman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634